

## Unlocking the Potential of Mediation in Intellectual Property Disputes

In today's knowledge-driven economy, Intellectual Property (IP) plays a pivotal role in driving innovation and fostering economic growth. However, disputes over IP rights are an inevitable reality in this competitive landscape. Disputes often result in expensive and time-consuming legal battles. In such cases, intellectual property mediation emerges as a valuable alternative dispute resolution avenue. Mediation offers a collaborative and efficient pathway to resolving conflicts while preserving relationships and promoting innovation.

Disputes involving IP can disrupt business activities and jeopardize the value of these critical assets. Moreover, as businesses increasingly operate across borders and engage in complex contractual relationships, IP disputes often span multiple jurisdictions. In this article, AMCA delves into the role of mediation in addressing IP disputes, offering insights into its benefits, processes, and successful outcomes.

### What is Intellectual Property Mediation?

IP mediation is a voluntary and confidential process in which a neutral mediator facilitates discussions between the disputing parties. Unlike litigation, which can be adversarial and rigid, mediation is not confrontational, allowing disputing parties to clarify misunderstandings and come up with mutually acceptable solutions. With the assistance of a mediator, parties can address various IP disputes, including patent and copyright infringement, trademark disputes, as well as trade secret misappropriation.

The rising importance of mediation in resolving IP disputes reflects a growing recognition of the limitations of traditional litigation. For instance, if a design company, having obtained exclusive rights to sell a designer's work, alters the design without the author's consent to boost sales, mediation offers a prompt and efficient means to resolve such disputes with the involvement of a specialist in the field.

### Advantages of Mediation in IP Disputes

As the complexity and international scope of IP disputes continue to grow, the advantages of mediation are becoming increasingly recognized. Below are some key advantages of utilizing mediation in resolving IP disputes:

- **Streamlined Procedure:** Traditional court litigation often stretches over multiple jurisdictions, which poses a risk of inconsistent outcomes. Mediation offers a single, expedited procedure for resolving disputes in different countries. By opting for mediation, parties can avoid the complexity and expense associated with multi-jurisdictional litigation.
- **Confidentiality:** Confidentiality is a hallmark of mediation. It assures parties that their dispute and the potential resolution will remain private. Confidentiality is particularly valuable in IP disputes, where sensitive information, trade secrets, and proprietary technology may be at stake.

- **Autonomy:** One of the fundamental principles of alternative dispute resolution, including mediation, is party autonomy. Parties engaging in mediation enjoy significant flexibility in choosing the procedural rules, venue, and language of the proceedings.
- **Preservation of Relationships:** Intellectual property disputes often involve parties with ongoing business or professional relationships. Mediation offers a constructive environment for parties to communicate openly, express their concerns, and work collaboratively toward resolution. This allows them to preserve relationships and minimize the risk of further conflict.
- **Expertise:** In mediation, parties can select mediators with specialized expertise in the relevant legal, technical, or business areas. This ensures that the process is guided by individuals who possess the knowledge and skills necessary to deal with the dispute and facilitate informed solutions.
- **Cost-Effectiveness:** Mediation is generally more cost-effective, as it typically requires fewer resources and can often be resolved in a shorter timeframe. By avoiding lengthy legal battles, parties can save time and money while achieving a comprehensive resolution to their dispute.

## International Perspectives

The Arbitration and Mediation Center of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO AMC), a specialized agency of the United Nations, holds a paramount position in the realm of IP dispute resolution. WIPO has been involved in the resolution of over 2,800 IP, innovation, and technology disputes. Notably, 70% of WIPO mediation cases have concluded in a settlement between the parties.

Another notable example is the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), which oversees the administration of EU Trademark and Design rights applicable across the EU. These rights complement national intellectual property (IP) rights and are interconnected with international IP systems.

The EUIPO, among other services, offers both online and in person mediation. While the mediation primarily focuses on IP rights (such as trademarks and industrial designs), it can also extend to other rights, including copyright, domain names, patents, and other disputes upon request.

## Case Study

To offer a practical insight into the realm of IP mediation and its practical implications, let us explore a case study that vividly illustrates its potential in resolving IP disputes.

In a recent WIPO Mediation case, a European telecom company found itself embroiled in a dispute with a US counterpart over the scope of a patent license agreement. The agreement, which involved patents spanning the US, Europe, and Asia, granted the US company rights to telecommunication technology for the development of wireless products. However, disagreements arose four years into the agreement regarding the permissible applications of the licensed technology.

Allegations surfaced that the licensee had exceeded the agreed scope of usage, thereby infringing upon the licensor's patents. Following the contractual clause providing for WIPO mediation, the European telecom company initiated proceedings through the WIPO Center.

Recognizing the specialized nature of the dispute, the WIPO Center proposed potential mediators possessing expertise in both patents and telecommunication technology. With the mediator's guidance, the parties engaged in productive discussions aimed at resolving their differences. Remarkably, a resolution was reached within five months of the mediation process's initiation.

## **Implementing Mediation**

While recognizing the benefits of IP mediation is crucial, implementing this alternative dispute resolution mechanism effectively requires careful planning and execution. Below are key steps to consider when implementing IP mediation at AMCA:

**Initiation:** The process of labor mediation at AMCA can begin either when there is a pre-existing agreement between the parties to resolve their dispute through mediation, or when at least one of the parties submits the dispute to the Center by sending a written inquiry.

**Commencement:** When there is a prior mediation agreement, mediation at AMCA begins when the parties submit a written request. Without such an agreement, mediation starts once the Secretariat confirms in writing that all parties have agreed to mediate following the inquiry of one of the parties.

**Meeting Format:** The first session of mediation will be scheduled as soon as possible after the approval/appointment of the mediator by the AMCA, but not later than within ten days. The mediator, in consultation with the involved parties, determines the date, time, location, agenda, and other pertinent details for this session.

**Legal Representation:** Parties can participate either individually or with their representatives. Throughout the process, all parties are expected to engage in good faith, cooperating with both the mediator and each other.

**Joint and Caucus Sessions:** The mediation can be carried out through joint meetings, separate sessions, or a combination of both. The mediator, with the parties' consent, may seek expert opinions or advice on matters relevant to the mediation process.

**Solution Development:** The mediator assists the parties in developing potential solutions. Through active engagement and creative problem-solving, the mediator guides parties toward mutually beneficial outcomes that address their underlying interests and concerns.

**Agreement Drafting:** If mediation concludes amicably, the parties sign an agreement to resolve the dispute amicably. Upon the parties' request, AMCA may offer an opinion on the settlement agreement. Besides the parties, the mediator also signs the settlement agreement, indicating it stems from the mediation process.

By following these steps, parties can effectively implement IP mediation to resolve disputes.

## **Conclusion**

IP mediation offers a valuable means of resolving disputes, preserving relationships, and promoting the continued advancement of technology and creativity. By providing parties with an efficient alternative to litigation, mediation empowers individuals and businesses to protect their intellectual property rights while fostering a culture of innovation and cooperation. As the complexity of intellectual property disputes becomes more and more complex, the role of mediation in promoting sustainable solutions will only become more critical in the years to come.

With its foundation in best international practices, finely tuned rules, and deep expertise in mediation, AMCA offers cutting-edge mediation services for IP disputes. As Armenia experiences emerging

trends in this arena, mediation emerges as a natural choice for swiftly and effectively resolving IP disputes, ensuring high-quality outcomes.

+374 11 201 410

info@amca.am

51 Arshakunyats Ave., area 47, Yerevan, Armenia

Sources: Theophile Margellos, Sophia Bonne, Gordon Humphreys and Sven Sturmman, *Mediation: Creating Value in International IP Disputes*, (2018 Wolters Kluwer).

Alice Kelly, *Manual of Mediation and Arbitration in Intellectual Property: Model For Developing Countries*, (2023 Dialectica).

Christopher W. Moore, *The Mediation Process: Practical Strategies for Resolving Conflict* (4th ed Jossey-Bass).

James Smith, Mediating International Intellectual Property Disputes *Journal of the Indian Law Institute*, vol. 39, no. 2/4, 1997, pp. 238–59. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43953270>.

Susan Felicity Corbett Mediation of Intellectual Property Disputes: A Critical Analysis, (2011) *New Zealand Business Law Quarterly*, Vol. 17, pp. 51-67. Available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1802207>

Jeremy Lack, Addressing the IP Dispute Resolution Paradox: Combining Mediation with Arbitration and Litigation, *Global Arbitration Review*, 21 December 2022. Available at: <https://globalarbitrationreview.com/guide/the-guide-ip-arbitration/second-edition/article/addressing-the-ip-dispute-resolution-paradox-combining-mediation-arbitration-and-litigation>